This tool provides structure and guidance to decide whether UKMi should list a particular resource for use. The process is designed to highlight any risks and limitations associated with the resource. A positive recommendation is not a UKMi endorsement of a particular resource but it will highlight factors to consider.

**Resource Assessment tool**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RESOURCE** | |
| **Title** |  |
| **Format details : e.g. paper, electronic (pdf, database, website, app)** |  |
| **URL:** |  |
| **Brief description of the resource** |  |
| **Publisher** |  |
| **Author** |  |
| **QUESTIONS** |  |
| **How has this resource been highlighted to the QRMG?** |  |
| **Scope and intended audience of the resource** | |
| What is the intended subject scope? |  |
| Who is the intended audience? |  |
| Is it relevant for our needs? |  |
| **Authority & reputation** | |
| Where has the information come from? |  |
| Has an individual or group taken responsibility for the resource? |  |
| Are they qualified to provide this information? |  |
| Is an organisation responsible for the information? |  |
| Are any organisations associated with the resource? (e.g. publishers, sponsors or funding agencies) |  |
| Is the resource well known or heavily used? |  |
| Is it a UK resource? |  |
| Is a specialist opinion needed? |  |
| **Coverage** | |
| What is the subject of the resource? |  |
| Is the resource comprehensive? |  |
| What is the range of subjects covered? |  |
| Is the coverage adequate? |  |
| Is the information presented in sufficient detail and at an appropriate level? |  |
| What does it add to currently used resources (jnclude here which resources it was compared against)? |  |
| Will it replace anything already on the UKMi lists? |  |
| **Accuracy** | |
| Is the information accurate? |  |
| Has the information been edited or reviewed? |  |
| Is the information supported by evidence? |  |
| Is there any evidence of bias? |  |
| Is the information professionally presented? (e.g. are there any typographical or grammatical errors?) |  |
| **Currency** | |
| Is the information up to date? |  |
| How frequently is the information updated? |  |
| Is this frequency appropriate for this information? |  |
| **Format** | |
| Is the resource well designed? |  |
| Is the resource easy to use? |  |
| Is the help information useful? |  |
| If electronic, is an App available? If so, are there any additional risks/notes related specifically to the App? (see Use of Apps in Medicines Information) |  |
| **Accessibility** | |
| Is a trial access available |  |
| Is it subscription/purchase only access? |  |
| Do charges apply to the whole or only part of the resource? |  |
| Are the costs apparent? If so, state here. |  |
| How do you access (purchase options)? |  |
| Could a UKMi deal be considered? |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **OUTCOME** | |
| **Is further specialist opinion required to inform decision**  **[if so, please provide details]** |  |
| **Recommendations with reasons** |  |
| **If recommendation is positive, do other similar resources need to be re-reviewed in comparison?** |  |
| **If the resource is purchased, should this be core or additional?** |  |
| **Date of review** |  |
| **Completed by** |  |

Forward all completed resource assessments to MEMAS (Midlands) and North West MIC.